

# **TIME-SAVINGS TECHNIQUES**

## **TIME-SAVING TECHNIQUES FOR GA AND GP**

- **Avoid re-computation of fitness for the reproduction operation (which may be performed, say, 9% of the time). This can be used only when the fitness cases do not vary from generation to generation.**
- **Consider reducing *accuracy* of fitness calculation. Consider granularity of the data actually required to adequately solve the problem (e.g., the 12 sonar distances to the nearest walls)**
- **Consider reducing the *precision* of the fitness calculation. For example, a short float data type may be available on your computer.**

## **TIME-SAVING TECHNIQUES — CONTINUED**

- **Consider reducing the number of fitness cases**
  - **Fewer fitness cases often work well**
  - **Potentially increase number of fitness cases as run progresses**
  - **"Rational Allocation of Trials" (RATS):**  
**In this approach, one only executes as many fitness cases as necessary to distinguish individuals (beyond a statistical doubt). This concept appears in the literature of many techniques of machine learning.**

## **TIME-SAVING TECHNIQUES — CONTINUED**

- **Use table look-ups when either the state transition equations of the system being simulated or the function set involves a lot of time-consuming (e.g., transcendental) functions. This was used in wall follower and box mover problems in GP-1 book.**
- **Look-up table can be created and used in lieu of direct function evaluation (e.g., Boolean problems, cellular automata problems)**
  - **Especially useful if there are large number of points in individual program**
  - **Especially useful with ADFs**
  - **Especially useful with large number of fitness cases**

## **TIME-SAVING TECHNIQUES — CONTINUED**

- **Terminate simulations when**
  - **the values of all the state variables of the system stabilize**
  - **a trajectory through the state space of the problem is unacceptable for a reason exogenous to the mathematical calculation (e.g., the broom swings through the earth)**
  - **they just take too long. Often, a small percentage of the individuals consume an inordinate percentage of the computer time.**
- **Put a cap time spent by iterative operator on one iteration and on individual as a whole for any particular fitness case.**

## **TIME-SAVING TECHNIQUES — CONTINUED**

- **Consider unobvious parts of the algorithm, such as the randomizer**
- **Consider other parts of the algorithm, such as the roulette wheel (possibly replacing it with an indexing scheme, sort, fast sort, or tournament selection)**
- **Disable graphics and other instrumentation for in production runs**
- **Use the metering and profiling software!!!**

## **TIME-SAVING TECHNIQUES — CONTINUED**

- **Parallel computers**
- **Rapidly reconfigurable field programmable gate arrays (FPGA) to get massive parallelization at hardware speeds or field programmable transistor arrays (FPTA) for analog circuits containing transistors**

## **ACCELERATING GP**

- **If the number of fitness cases to be handled by each individual in the population is large, consider compiling (in GP) each individual program**
- **GP assembly code (Peter Nordin)**



## **MEMORY-SAVING TECHNIQUES**

- **1-byte representation for GP**
  - **Permits about 200 random constants (and about 56 functions)**
  - **Also: 2-byte representation**

## **PROGRAMMER-SAVING TECHNIQUES**

- **Optimize your time, too. Many parts of the code are virtually irrelevant to optimization**

## **COMMON MISTAKES IN APPLYING GENETIC ALGORITHMS**

- **Population is MUCH TOO small**
- **Mutation rate is TOO HIGH**
- **Excessive GREED is introduced**
- **Improper initialization**
- **Fitness is not adequately gradated**
- **Hand-crafted crossover operators cause mutation to be introduced for virtually every crossover**
- **Hand-crafted crossover operators are not in sync with the problem**
- **Misapplying rules of thumb**